Draft version January 23, 2017
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 5/2/11

arXiv:1701.05865v1 [astro-ph.SR] 20 Jan 2017

CONTINUED KINEMATIC AND PHOTOMETRIC INVESTIGATIONS OF HIERARCHICAL SOLAR-TYPE MULTIPLE STAR SYSTEMS

Lewis C. Roberts, Jr.[1], Andrei Tokovinin[2], Brian D. Mason[3], Anne D. Marinan[1]

Draft version January 23, 2017

ABSTRACT

We observed 15 of the solar-type binaries within 67 pc of the Sun previously observed by the Robo-AO system in the visible, with the PHARO near-IR camera and the PALM-3000 adaptive optics system on the 5 m Hale telescope. The physical status of the binaries is confirmed through common proper motion and detection of orbital motion. In the process we detected a new candidate companion to HIP 95309. We also resolved the primary of HIP 110626 into a close binary making that system a triple. These detections increase the completeness of the multiplicity survey of the solar-type stars within 67 pc of the Sun. Combining our observations of HIP 103455 with archival astrometric measurements and RV measurements, we are able to compute the first orbit of HIP 103455 showing that the binary has a 68 yr period. We place the components on a color-magnitude diagram and discuss each multiple system individually.

Subject headings: binaries: visual - instrumentation: adaptive optics - stars: solar-type

1. INTRODUCTION

We report observations of stellar multiple systems with adaptive optics (AO), completing the program of Roberts et al. (2015, hereafter Paper I). The program's goal is the characterization of hierarchical multiplicity of solar-type stars in the solar neighborhood. This is a follow-up of the large multiplicity survey conducted with the Robo-AO system (Riddle et al. 2015, R15). As in Paper I, we observed multiple systems discovered in R15 to confirm the bound nature of their companions by relative astrometry and infrared (IR) photometry. New subsystems discovered serendipitously in Paper I were re-visited here to follow their orbital motion, with the eventual goal of determining orbits and masses. In fact, we are already able to compute one orbit by combining new and archival data of HIP 103455.

The motivation for this program was presented in Paper I. Continued interest in the multiplicity of solar-type stars, already well characterized, is driven by the need to clarify the properties of hierarchical systems with three or more stars. Hierarchies open new insights on formation mechanisms of binaries by their relative frequency, statistics of period ratios, eccentricities, and relative orbit orientations. Compared to binaries, hierarchies are more difficult to study, requiring combinations of observing techniques, so much work remains before we attain a good knowledge of hierarchical systems even in the close solar neighborhood. In addition, the period ratio between the closer and wider pairs of hierarchical multiples differs by at least half an order of magnitude, contributing to the complications for multiplicity studies. Our observations provide an incremental input to this effort.

Additional interest in the multiplicity of solar neighbors stems from the fact that many of these stars host exoplanets (Raghavan et al. 2006; Bonavita & Desidera 2007). The emerging statistics show that exoplanets are ubiquitous, so many stars not currently known as exoplanet hosts will join this family in the future. Planet formation and evolution are closely related to the multiplicity of the host stars, hence the need to explore the multiplicity of these stars as best as we can.

New observations are described in Section 2, their results are given in Section 3. Comments on individual systems are given in Section 4, while Section 5 presents the combined visual-spectroscopic orbit of HIP 103455. The results are summarized in Section 6.

2. OBSERVATIONS

We observed the stars on 2015 July 7 UT with the Palomar Observatory Hale 5 m telescope using the PALM-3000 natural guide-star AO system (Dekany et al. 2013) and the PHARO near-IR camera (Hayward et al. 2001). We collected 50 frames of each object with an exposure time of 1.416 s, which is the minimum exposure for PHARO. The data were reduced by debiasing, flat fielding, bad pixel correction and background subtraction and then shift-and-added to create a single image. The *fitstars* algorithm was used to measure the astrometry and photometry of the objects (ten Brummelaar et al. 1996, 2000).

We observed three calibration binaries[4] on the same night as the science targets. See Table 1. We compared their measured astrometry with the ephemeris predicted from their orbits and used the results to compute the plate scale and the position angle offset, 24.9±0.2 mas pixel^{-1} and 0.7±0.5°. Since astrometric calibration based on orbits include the errors in the orbits, we have presented the measured differential pixel locations and the orbits used to calibrate the binaries in Table 1. This allows the reader to judge the calibration accuracy and for future readers to recompute the astrometric calibration if improved orbits become available. Error bars on the astrometry were computed using the technique

lewis.c.roberts@jpl.nasa.gov
[1] Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena CA 91109, USA
[2] Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile
[3] U.S. Naval Observatory, 3450 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20392-5420, USA

[4] http://ad.usno.navy.mil/wds/orb6/orb6c.html

in (Roberts et al. 2015). Photometric error bars were assigned using the technique described in Roberts et al. (2005).

3. ASTROMETRY, PHOTOMETRY, AND CMDS

New information resulting from our observations is highlighted in this Section. Table 2 contains information on 15 solar-type systems: 4 binaries, 9 triples, 1 quadruple, and 1 quintuple. We are able to confirm the physical nature of four Robo-AO candidate companions: HIP 94666, HIP 94905, HIP 95309, and HIP 110626. The table gives the Washington Double Star (WDS) Catalog designation for the system as well as the HD and HIP numbers for the primary star. This is followed by the discoverer designation, **the known mulitplicity of the system,** the observation epoch, the astrometry, and the differential photometry of the pair listed in the discovery designation. **For systems where we detected more than two components in the system, we have only listed the multiplicity for the first pair.** New discoveries are marked with a footnote to the WDS designation. For some of the closer systems we used an alternative blind-deconvolution code and these are also marked with a footnote.

For the observed systems, we have estimated their orbital periods. There are a few ways to estimate the periods of binaries with only a handful of astrometric measurements. One, P^*, is based on the projected separation when we know the distance to the system (Tokovinin 2014); in most cases it is within a factor of three from the true period. The other is based on the angular velocity and the assumption that the system has a circular face-on orbit. Both techniques provide rough orders of magnitude estimates, but which is more accurate? To help answer this question, we simulated a population of binaries with random orientation and with the thermal and sine eccentricity distributions (Tokovinin & Kiyaeva 2016, average eccentricity 0.67 and 0.5, respectively). Figure 1 shows the cumulative distribution of the angular speed normalized by the circular-orbit speed. The medians are 0.33 and 0.48 for the thermal and sine eccentricity distributions. So, binary period estimated from angular speed, $P_t = 360^\circ\dot{\theta}^{-1}$, where $\dot{\theta}$ is the rate of position angle change, is longer than the true period by a factor of 2 to 3 in half of the cases and longer than the true period in 90% of the cases. Binaries spend a significant fraction of time moving slower than average and "catch up" to complete the full revolution in P years during short time intervals. Both estimation techniques make only order-of-magnitude, statistical estimates, but P^* is biased less than P_t. As such, we provide period estimates based on P^*.

Differential photometry in the J and K_s bands from Table 2 and combined magnitudes of stellar systems from 2MASS allow us to compute the individual magnitudes of resolved components and place them on the color-magnitude diagram (CMD) using *Hipparcos* parallaxes. To extend the color base, we also use the SDSS i' magnitudes, with the differential photometry from R15 and the combined magnitudes evaluated by interpolation from other bands, as explained in Paper I. The two CMDs are shown in Figure 2. In calculating the error bars, we assigned errors of 0.1 mag to the differential photometry from R15 and assumed reasonable errors for the combined magnitudes. **At an average distance of 50pc, the a 1-mas parallax error (the largest of any of our systems) translates to a 5% distance error or 0.1 mag in absolute magnitudes, which is well within the natural CMD uncertainty caused by age and metallicity differences and imperfect isochrones.** Table 3 lists absolute magnitudes and colors of individual components computed from the differential and combined photometry and used to plot the CMDs in Figure 2. Using absolute magnitudes, we estimate masses of secondary components by assuming that they are located on the main sequence.



Figure 1. The cumulative distributions of the angular speed normalized by the circular-orbit speed $360^\circ P^{-1}$. Randomly oriented orbits with eccentricities distributed as $\sim \sin(\pi e)$ (sine) and $2e$ (thermal) are simulated.

4. COMMENTS ON INDIVIDUAL SYSTEMS

HIP 69160 (HD 123760 = WDS 14094+1015) is an SB2 with an 8-yr period. The SB2 designation for this pair comes from (Tokovinin 2014), which also provided the input for the R15 survey observing list, which Paper I and this work are now following up. A companion was resolved by R15 and it is confirmed by our observations. The companion's position angle has changed by 11° in the 2.5 years since it was discovered. The semi-major axis estimated from period is 92 mas, which is close to the measured separation. It seems likely that the system is near apastron and is at its slowest part of the orbit. If so the eccentricity of the orbit is probably high. The alternative that this is a wider tertiary companion to an unresolved SB2 is unlikely, considering that small Δm matches the large spectroscopic mass ratio and the separation matches the SB2 axis.

HIP 75676 (HD 138004 = WDS 15277+4253) **(A), together with BC at 40.″2, form a wide physical pair.** The BC binary was first observed by R15 and confirmed by our observation. Its component B is a 17-day spectroscopic pair (Tokovinin 2014), while the star A is a spectroscopic and astrometric binary (Makarov & Kaplan 2005), meaning that this is a quintuple stellar system. We provide IR photometry of the pair BC with an estimated period of 40 yr. Its position angle changed by 13°. While the magnitude of the proper motion motion and the orbital motion are similar, they are moving in different directions and we conclude that this system has common proper motion.

HIP 85042 (HD 157347 = WDS 17229-0223) is a vi-

Table 1
Calibration Binaries

WDS	Discovery Des.	Δx (pix.)	Δy (pix.)	Orbit Reference
15360+3948	STT 298AB	4.4	47.3	Söderhjelm (1999)
16147+3352	STF 2032AB	246.8	146.5	Raghavan et al. (2009)
16160+0721	STF 2026AB	-42.7	-134.0	Scardia et al. (2011)

Table 2
Relative Astrometry and Photometry

WDS	HD	HIP	Discoverer	Mult	Epoch	θ (°)	ρ ($''$)	ΔJ	ΔH	ΔKs
14094+1015[a]	123760	69160	RAO 16	2	2015.5141	249.8±1.7	0.11±0.01	0.25±0.1	-	0.27±0.1
15277+4253	138004	75676	RAO 18 BC	5	2015.5136	112.8±1.6	0.37±0.01	1.10±0.10	-	0.99±0.04
17229−0223	157347	85042	RAO 19 BC	3	2015.5138	89.8±0.9	0.73±0.01	0.01±0.01	-	0.04±0.01
17422+3804[a]	161163	86642	RBR 29 Aa,Ab	3	2015.5138	35.0±7.8	0.07±0.01	-	-	1.44±0.1
17422+3804[a]	161163	86642	RAO 20 Aa,B		2015.5138	303.3±0.6	2.25±0.01	-	-	3.60±0.1
18352+4135[a]	171886	91120	RAO 83 Ba,Bb	3	2015.5137	16.0±7.2	0.08±0.01	0.96±0.1	-	1.11±0.1
19158+3823	180683	94666	RAO 85	3	2015.5139	331.8±0.5	3.58±0.03	5.05±0.17	-	4.45±0.04
19188+1629	181144	94905	RAO 67	3	2015.5141	190.5±0.5	6.86±0.03	4.18±0.07	-	3.45±0.03
19234+2034[b]	182335	95309	NEW AC	3	2015.5140	8.1±0.5	3.06±0.02	3.80±0.07	-	2.25±0.03
19234+2034	182335	95309	RAO 68 AB		2015.5140	351.0±0.5	5.07±0.02	3.95±0.07	-	3.43±0.03
20312+5653[a]	195872	101234	RAO 22	2	2015.5142	151.6±3.4	0.17±0.01	2.05±0.43	-	1.9 ±0.1
20333+3323[a]	195992	101430	RBR 29 Ba,Bb	4	2015.5140	299.1±2.9	0.20±0.01	-	-	0.33±0.1
20577+2624	199598	103455	RAO 24	2	2001.4873	332.0±2.8	0.24±0.01	-	2.9±0.19[c]	-
					2015.5142	93.7±0.9	0.72±0.01	3.23±0.26	-	2.74±0.08
22094+3508	210388	109361	RAO 26	2	2015.5143	4.5±1.2	0.41±0.01	2.21±0.19		1.76±0.04
22246+3926	212585	110626	RAO 28 AaB	3	2015.5143	316.1±0.5	4.42±0.01	4.83±0.17	4.47±0.07	4.13±0.03
22246+3926[a,b]	212585	110626	NEW Aa,Ab		2015.5143	262.2±1.7	0.22±0.01	3.38±0.1	3.12±0.1	3.08±0.1
22266+0424[a]	212754	110785	BU 290 AB	3	2015.5141	226.2±0.8	3.90±0.02	-	-	3.68±0.1
23588+3156	224531	118213	RBR 30 Aa,Ab	3	2015.5144	351.2±1.4	0.43±0.01	-	-	4.36±0.26
23588+3156	224531	118213	RAO 76 Aa,B		2015.5144	87.5±0.5	4.85±0.02	-	-	4.84±0.04

[a] Data were processed with alternative blind-deconvolution method.
[b] New Discovery
[c] Data was taken with an FeII filter centered at 1.65 μm.

Table 3
Photometry of components

HIP	$\pi_{\rm HIP2}$ (mas)	Comp	$i'_{\rm abs}$ (mag)	$J_{\rm abs}$ (mag)	$i'-K_s$ (mag)	$J-K_s$ (mag)
69160	17.6±0.9	A	4.33	3.66	1.06±0.07	0.39±0.07
		B	5.05	3.91	1.51±0.09	0.37±0.09
75676	31.1±0.6	B	6.53	5.23	1.95±0.04	0.65±0.05
		C	8.01	6.33	2.44±0.09	0.76±0.09
85042	51.2±0.4	B	9.70	7.55	2.98±0.06	0.83±0.04
		C	9.70	7.56	2.94±0.06	0.80±0.04
86642	24.7±0.5	A	3.94	3.94	1.23±0.04	1.23±0.04
		B	8.94	8.94	2.63±0.20	2.63±0.20
91120	20.2±0.4	Ba	6.99	5.42	2.33±0.06	0.76±0.05
		Bb	7.82	6.38	2.05±0.11	0.61±0.11
94666	15.5±0.6	A	3.41	2.56	1.16±0.04	0.31±0.04
		B	9.12	7.61	2.42±0.50	0.91±0.18
94905	26.7±0.6	A	3.92	3.12	1.08±0.04	0.29±0.04
		B	8.77	7.30	2.48±0.20	1.02±0.08
95309	21.7±1.0	A	4.29	3.44	1.23±0.04	0.38±0.04
		B	9.02	7.39	2.53±0.20	0.90±0.08
		C	...	7.24	...	1.85±0.08
101234	15.1±0.9	A	...	2.81	...	0.29±0.08
		B	...	4.86	...	0.44±0.40
103455	31.6±0.6	A	4.15	3.33	1.10±0.04	0.28±0.04
		B	8.82	6.56	3.03±0.21	0.77±0.26
109361	23.3±0.6	A	...	3.31	...	0.27±0.04
		B	...	5.52	...	0.72±0.17
110626	20.3±0.9	A	4.25	3.31	1.19±0.04	0.31±0.04
		B	10.27	5.52	3.08±0.30	1.02±0.17
		C	...	6.80	...	0.62±0.22
118213	20.2±0.7	A	4.48	...	1.28±0.04	...
		B	11.04	...	3.00±0.30	...



Figure 2. Color-magnitude diagrams. Left: in the $i', i' - K_s$ bands, right: in the $J, J - K_s$ bands. Asterisks denote primary components, squares with error bars are secondary components. Full and dashed lines are Dartmouth 1-Gyr isochrones (Dotter et al. 2008) for solar metallicity and [Fe/H]=−0.5, respectively.

sual triple, with a wide 46″AB pair (Raghavan et al. 2010) and the BC pair consisting of two M dwarfs (R15). Our observations confirm the earlier detection of R15. The estimated period of BC is ∼40 yr. The magnitude of the proper motion of the primary is roughly 10 times the orbital motion, leading us to conclude this system has common proper motion.

HIP 86642 (HD 161163 = WDS 17422+3804) is a triple system. The 6-yr spectroscopic subsystem Aa,Ab (Tokovinin 2014) was resolved in Paper I, where the physical nature of the wide pair detected by R15 was also established. As this system has a short period, it should be observed as often as possible, so that a combined visual/spectroscopic orbit can be computed.

HIP 91120 (HD 171886 = WDS 18352+4135) We resolved the subsystem Ba,Bb discovered with Robo-AO at 0.″14 and 336°, now closing to 0.″08 and 16°. Its estimated period is 16 yr, the observed orbital motion is indeed fast. The primary's proper motion is larger than the orbital motion including the large error bar on the position angle and we conclude the system has common proper motion.

HIP 94666 (HD 180683 = WDS 19158+3823) The physical nature of the 3.″6 tertiary companion to this spectroscopic binary (Tokovinin 2014) is confirmed by the large proper motion of the primary compared to the tiny orbital motion between the observation of R15 and our current measurement. Our photometry places the secondary on the main sequence, its mass is $\sim$0.37 $\mathcal{M}_\odot$.

HIP 94905 (HD 181144 = WDS 19188+1629) The nature of the tertiary companion B, at 6.″9 from the spectroscopic binary (Tokovinin 2014), was controversial considering that the system is located in the Galactic plane with dense stellar background, while its HIP2 proper motion (PM) is slow, 30 mas yr^{-1}. Comparison of our astrometry with 2MASS, as well as our resolved photometry, indicate that the pair AB is physical. Its position would have changed by 0.″45 since 2MASS epoch if B had a zero PM.

HIP 95309 (HD 182335 = WDS 19234+2034) is another object in the Galactic plane, with a moderate PM of $(+13, -186)$ mas yr^{-1}. The physical nature of B is confirmed by its stable position and its location on the main sequence in the CMDs. Unexpectedly, we have discovered a new component C with a separation of 3.″1, between A and B (see Figure 3(a).) The new component, C, is brighter than B, but it was not seen in the i' band with Robo-AO (R15). We measure its color $J - K_s = 1.85$ mag, much redder than any normal dwarf star. The nature of C is enigmatic, as most stars around HIP 95309 in the 2MASS K_s-band image are fainter and it is difficult to figure out how C could project on the AB pair without being noticed before. It could be either a distant red transient, or a nearby low-mass brown dwarf with a very fast PM.

HIP 101234 (HD 195872 = WDS 20312+5653) was re-observed to confirm its substantial magnitude difference established in Paper I and discrepant with the $\Delta i' \sim 0$ measured with Robo-AO. The period is about 30 yr, and the pair turned by 44° since 2012.76. The observed motion matches the estimated period, but more data are needed before attempting to compute the orbit. The differential magnitudes measured in 2015 are within the error bars of the differential magnitudes measured in Paper I and do not shed any light on why they are so different than those measured in R15.

HIP 101430 (HD 195992 = WDS 20333+3323) was observed in Paper I and the B component was revealed to be a close binary with an estimated period of ∼30 years. This paper's observations show direct motion and the binary opening up. The A component was saturated and we were unable to measure the astrometry of the A,Ba or AE pairs.

HIP 103455 (HD 199598 = WDS 20577+2624) The new measurement combined with existing data leads to the determination of the orbit in Section 5.

HIP 109361 (HD 210388 = WDS 22094+3508) is a 18.5-yr spectroscopic binary (Tokovinin 2014) that turned by 13° since its resolution with Robo-AO.

HIP 110626 (HD 212585 = WDS 22246+3926) We resolved the inner astrometric (Makarov & Kaplan 2005)and spectroscopic subsystem Aa,Ab (Tokovinin 2014) at 0.″22 separation (see Figure 3(b)). From the projected separation, the estimated orbital period is ∼30yr. Robo-AO was unable to resolve the system due to the large contrast, $\Delta K = 3.1$, between the two components. The component B has the luminosity of a $\sim$0.23 $\mathcal{M}_\odot$ dwarf.

HIP 110785 (HD 212754 = WDS 22266+0424) is a triple system with a wide pair in a 420 year period (Hale 1994), with a primary consisting of a spectroscopic binary (Tokovinin 2014) with a 931 day period (Griffin 2010). Despite the spectroscopic binary's semi-major axis of 59 mas, we were unable to resolve the subsystem owing to the small mass ratio of 0.22 determined from the spectroscopic and astrometric orbits (Goldin & Markarov 2007).

HIP 118213 (HD 224531 = WDS 23588+3156) is a triple system similar to HIP 110626, where the inner astrometric (Makarov & Kaplan 2005) and spectroscopic pair Aa,Ab (Tokovinin 2014) was first resolved in Paper I. We confirm the large magnitude difference between Aa and Ab, $\Delta K_s = 4.4$ mag. The separation implies an orbital period of ∼100 yr; the mass of Ab is estimated at 0.2 $\mathcal{M}_\odot$. The AB pair shows hardly any motion between the R15 observation in 2012 and 2015. The proper motion of the system is high enough that the system is clearly physical.

5. ORBIT OF HIP 103455

The solar-type star HIP 103455 (HD 199598, G0V, $V = 6.90$) has a distance of 30 pc (van Leeuwen 2007). It is an X-ray source 1RXS J205739.4+262420, indicating relative youth (Guillout et al. 2009). It was observed with AO at Palomar in 2003 among other young stars and found unresolved (Metchev & Hillenbrand 2009). However, the Robo-AO system resolved it into a 0.″6 binary in 2012 and 2013 (Riddle et al. 2015). This was confirmed in July 2015 (Paper I). The separation is increasing, showing some orbital motion, with an estimated period of ∼60 yr. S. Metchev (2015, private communication) re-examined the Palomar data of 2003 and detected the companion overlapping with the first diffraction ring at a separation of 0.″1.

The binarity of HIP 103455 was established independently by its variable radial velocity (RV). Using precise RV measurements, Patel et al. (2007) suggested a probable orbit with a poorly constrained period around 60



Figure 3. Images of the two new components in the K_s band. North is up and East is to the right. The images are: (a) HIP 95309 and (b) HIP 110626. Black arrows point to the locations of the newly imaged companions. The arrows have lengths of approximately one arcsecond. In each of the images, there is a ghost to the lower right of each star caused by a neutral density filter in the PHARO camera. These are subimages from the actual data frames; the field of view varies and was chosen to best display the binary. The images are all intensity stretched to best display the binary and the PSF structure.

yr and a large eccentricity of approximately 0.7. The RV amplitude was small, only 1.1 km s^{-1}, indicating a companion of low mass, $M_{2\rm min} = 0.12\ \mathcal{M}_\odot$. Direct resolution of this binary means that the companion is in fact more massive and the small RV amplitude is caused by the low orbital inclination. Interestingly, Nidever et al. (2002) did not detect any significant RV variation over one year in the initial RV data from Lick.

The third independent evidence of binarity comes from the astrometry. The PM differs from the long-term ground-based PM by 7 mas yr^{-1}. This is a so-called $\Delta\mu$ binary according to Makarov & Kaplan (2005). Modeling detection of such astrometric binaries shows that their typical periods are a few decades (Tokovinin et al. 2012).

We searched the data archives and located images of HIP 103455 taken with the Gemini Telescope and the Hokupa`a AO system using the QUIRC science camera (Graves et al. 1998). In the images the star was located at different positions across the detector. We fitted a plane to each image and subtracted it off. Next, we subtracted off the median of all the "de-planed" images. This resulted in 22 images. We then measured the astrometry of each image using *fitstars*. The error bars were set equal to the standard deviation of the measurements.

We computed a combined orbital solution using the ORBITX[5] code (Tokovinin 1992). ORBITX uses the Levenberg-Marquardt method to solve for all the orbital elements. The resolved measurements come from the archival QUIRC data, S. Metchev (2015, private communication), Robo-AO (Riddle et al. 2015), PHARO (Roberts et al. 2015), and this paper. The individual RVs were published by Fischer et al. (2014), covering the period from 1998.5 to 2011.8. The RV measurement accuracy is about 10 m s^{-1}. Passage through the periastron in this eccentric orbit has been well observed.

[5] `http://www.ctio.noao.edu/$\sim$atokovin/orbit/index.html`

Table 4
Orbital elements of HIP 103455

Element	Value
P (yr)	68.2±1.5
a ($''$)	0.620±0.025
i (°)	154.3±8.5
Ω (°)	326.7±2.0
T	2003.4771±0.0006
e	0.774±0.004
ω (°)	84.3±0.2
K_1 (kms^{-1})	1.091±0.001
V_0 (kms^{-1})	−0.859±0.002

The orbit is shown in Figures 4 and 5, and its elements are given in Table 4. Astrometric measurements and their deviations from the orbit are assembled in Table 5. Altogether, the orbit matches the available data quite well, with weighted rms residuals of 0.°5 in angle, 10 mas in separation, and 12 m s^{-1} in RV. The mass sum is 1.62 $\mathcal{M}_\odot$. The RV amplitude and inclination give the companion mass M_2 of 0.27 $\mathcal{M}_\odot$, assuming 1.1 $\mathcal{M}_\odot$ for the primary. However, the large error of inclination implies large uncertainty of M_2.

The period and mass ratio of HIP 103455 place it amongst other common solar-type binaries. The available precise RV data exclude any short-period companions to the main component A, except maybe low-mass planets. However, the large eccentricity truncated the circumstellar disk and likely prevented formation of planets. The mass of the secondary component B is constrained by its RV amplitude and its brightness. It is located above the main sequence in the $(i', i'-K_s)$ CMD and on the main sequence in the $(J, J-K_s)$ CMD in Figure 2, while A lies on the main sequence. The Galactic velocity $(U, V, W) = (-44.4, -16.4, -16.8)$ km s^{-1}, com-

Table 5
Astrometry and residuals of HIP 103455

Date (yr)	θ (°)	ρ ($''$)	σ ($''$)	$(O-C)_\theta$ (°)	$(O-C)_\rho$ ($''$)	Instrument/ Reference
2001.487	332.0	0.238	0.020	2.9	−0.001	QUIRC/Gemini 8m
2003.720	228.0	0.110	0.010	2.3	−0.019	Metchev (Private Comm.)
2012.766	102.8	0.610	0.010	0.1	−0.004	Riddle et al. (2015)
2013.622	99.8	0.639	0.010	−0.4	−0.005	Riddle et al. (2015)
2013.837	100.1	0.660	0.010	0.5	0.009	Roberts et al. (2015)
2015.514	93.7	0.72	0.010	0.9	0.015	PHARO/Hale 5m

puted without correction for orbital motion, corresponds to the young disk kinematics but does not match any known kinematic groups. If the component B is indeed a pre-main-sequence star, its mass may be measured accurately in the future by monitoring the orbital motion. The separation is large enough that it is possible to collect individual spectra under good seeing (or with AO). These spectra can then be used to derive stellar parameters and look for signatures of young age.



Figure 4. Preliminary orbit of HIP 103455. The broken line through the origin is the line of nodes. Open circles are previously published astrometry values and the filled circles are the new values from this paper. The axes are labeled in units of arcseconds.

6. SUMMARY

The observations presented in Paper I resolved for the first time six new pairs, some of them totally unexpected. Here we add the first resolution of the spectroscopic and astrometric pair HIP 110626 and the discovery of an enigmatic red companion to HIP 95309. We confirmed several pairs from Paper I and started to observe their orbital motion. The physical nature of several binaries from R15 with separations of several arcseconds is established using photometry and astrometry presented here. We derive the first orbit of the 68-yr pair HIP 103455 Although it is not yet fully covered by resolved observations and RVs, the available data already constrain the orbital elements. This system is likely young, and its further study may be of interest for calibrating masses.



Figure 5. The RV orbit of of HIP 103455. The black line is the computed orbit, while the individual data points are overplotted.

This work is a modest and incremental contribution to our knowledge of the multiplicity of solar-type stars. Eventually planets will be discovered around some stars observed here, and then our observations will become even more valuable, adding a "look-back" perspective to future studies of those systems. This aspect is nicely illustrated here by the role of archival data in the orbital analysis of HIP 103455.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank S. Metchev for reprocessing archival data of HIP 103455. We thank the staff of the Palomar Observatory for their invaluable assistance in collecting these data. This paper contains observations obtained at the Hale Telescope, Palomar Observatory. It is based in part on observations obtained at the Gemini Observatory acquired through the Gemini Science Archive, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the NSF on behalf of the Gemini partnership: the National Science Foundation (United States), the National Research Council (Canada), CONICYT (Chile), the Australian Research Council (Australia), Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação (Brazil) and Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Productiva (Argentina). This paper is based on observations obtained with the Adaptive Optics System Hokupa`a/Quirc, developed and operated by the University of Hawaii Adap-

tive Optics Group, with support from the National Science Foundation. A portion of the research in this paper was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). A.M. was supported by a NASA Space Technology Research Fellowship. This research made use of the Washington Double Star Catalog maintained at the U.S. Naval Observatory, the SIMBAD database, operated by the CDS in Strasbourg, France and NASA's Astrophysics Data System. This publication made use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by NASA and the National Science Foundation.

Facilities: Hale (PALM-3000, PHARO), Gemini (Hokupa`a, QUIRC)

REFERENCES

Bonavita, M., & Desidera, S. 2007, A&A, 468, 721
Burruss, R.S, Dekany, R.G., Roberts, J.E. et al. 2014, Proc. SPIE, 9148, 914827
Dekany, R., Roberts, J., Burruss, R. et al. 2013, ApJ, 776, 130
Dotter, A., Chaboyer, B., Jevremović, D. et al. 2008, ApJS, 178, 89
Fischer, D.A., Marcy, G.W., & Spronck, J.F.P. 2014, ApJS, 210,5
Graves, J.E., Northcott, M.J., Roddier, F.J., Roddier, C.A., & Close, L.M. 1998, Proc. SPIE, 3353, 34
Griffin, R. 2010, The Observatory, 130, 17
Goldin, A., & Makarov, V.V. 2007, ApJS, 173, 137
Guillout, P., Klutsch, A., Frasca, A. et al. 2009, A&A, 504, 820
Hale, A., 1994, AJ, 107, 306
Hayward, T.L., Brandl, B., Pirger, B., et al. 2001, PASP, 113, 105
Makarov, V. V. & Kaplan, G. H., 2005, AJ, 129, 2420
Metchev, S.A., & Hillenbrand, L.A. 2009, ApJS, 181, 62
Nidever, D.L., Marcy, G.W., Butler, R.P., Fischer, D.A., & Vogt, S.S. 2002, ApJS, 141, 503
Patel, S.G., Vogt, S.S., Marcy, G.W., et al. 2007, ApJ, 665, 744
Raghavan, D., Henry, T.J., Mason, B.D., Subasavage, J.P., Jao, W.-C., Beaulieu, T.D. & Hambly, N.C. 2006, ApJ646, 523
Raghavan, D., McAlister, H.A., Torres, et al. 2009, ApJ690, 394
Raghavan, D., McAlister, H.A., Henry, T.J., et al. 2010, ApJS, 190, 1
Riddle, R. L., Tokovinin, A., Mason, B. D. et al. 2015, ApJ, 799, 4 (R15)
Roberts Jr., L. C., Turner, N.H., Bradford, L.W., et al. 2005, AJ, 130, 2262
Roberts Jr., L.C., Tokovinin, A., Mason, B.D., Hartkopf. W.I. & Riddle, R.L. 2015, AJ, 150, 130 (Paper I)
Scardia, M., Prieur, J.-L., Pansecchi, L., Argyle, R.W., & Sala, M. 2011, AN 332, 508
Söderhjelm, S. 1999, A&A, 341, 121
ten Brummelaar T.A., Mason B.D., Bagnuolo, Jr. W.G., Hartkopf W.I., McAlister H.A., Turner N.H. 1996, AJ, 112, 1180
ten Brummelaar T.A., Mason McAlister H.A., Roberts Jr. L.C., Turner N.H., Hartkopf W.I., Bagnuolo Jr., W.G. 2000, AJ, 119, 2403
Tokovinin, A. A. 1992, in ASP Conf Ser. 32, Complementary Approaches to Double and Multiple Star Research, ed. H. A. McAlister & W. I. Hartkopf (IAU Colloquium 135; San Francisco, CA: ASP), 573
Tokovinin, A., Hartung, M., Hayward, Th. L., & Makarov, V. V. 2012, AJ, 144, 7
Tokovinin, A. 2014, AJ, 147, 86
Tokovinin, A., & Kiyaeva, O. 2016, MNRAS, 456, 2070
van Leeuwen, F. 2007, A&A, 474, 653